

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION 2 **COMMISSIONERS** Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED 3 SUSAN BITTER SMITH - Chairman **BOB STUMP** 4 JAN 22 2015 **BOB BURNS DOUG LITTLE** DOCKETED BY 5 TOM FORESE 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF GC DOCKET NO. T-20787A-14-0367 7 PIVOTAL, LLC DBA GLOBAL CAPACITY FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND 8 NECESSITY TO PROVIDE RESOLD AND 74903 DECISION NO. FACILITIES-BASED LOCAL EXCHANGE, RESOLD AND FACILITIES-BASED INTEREXCHANGE, AND FACILITIES-BASED 10 PRIVATE LINE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES IN ARIZONA. **OPINION AND ORDER** 11 DATE OF HEARING: December 30, 2014 12 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Sasha Paternoster 14 APPEARANCES: Mr. Michael W. Patten, ROSHKA DeWULF 15 PATTEN, P.L.C., on behalf of Applicant; and 16 Mr. Matthew Laudone, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona 17 Corporation Commission. 18 BY THE COMMISSION: 19 On October 9, 2014, GC Pivotal, LLC, dba Global Capacity ("GC Pivotal") filed with the 20 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a Certificate of Convenience 21 and Necessity ("CC&N"), to provide resold and facilities-based local exchange, resold and facilities-22 based interexchange, and facilities-based private line telecommunications services on a statewide 23 basis in Arizona. GC Pivotal's application also requested a determination that its proposed services 24 are competitive in Arizona. 25 On October 15, 2014, GC Pivotal docketed a signed certification to the application. 26 On October 28, 2014, GC Pivotal filed Responses to the Commission's Utilities Division's 27 ("Staff") First Set of Data Requests. 28

On November 5, 2014, GC Pivotal filed Responses to Staff's Second Set of Data Requests.

On December 1, 2014, Staff filed a Staff Report recommending approval of GC Pivotal's application, subject to certain conditions.

On December 3, 2014, by Procedural Order, the hearing in this matter was set for December 30, 2014, and other procedural deadlines were established.

On December 4, 2014, GC Pivotal filed a Status of Publication Requirement, indicating the earliest the Notice could be published was December 9, 2014, rather than the date of December 8, 2014 as set forth in the Procedural Order.

On December 16, 2014, GC Pivotal filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating that notice of the application and hearing date had been published in *The Arizona Republic*, a newspaper of general circulation in Arizona.

On December 30, 2014, a full public hearing was held as scheduled before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission. GC Pivotal and Staff appeared through counsel and presented testimony and evidence. No members of the public appeared to give comments on the application.

On December 30, 2014, GC Pivotal docketed a late-filed exhibit updating the status of the Company's pending CC&N applications in other jurisdictions.

* * * * * * * * * *

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. GC Pivotal is a foreign limited liability corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its headquarters located in Chicago.¹
- 2. GC Pivotal is wholly owned by Pivotal Global Capacity, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company.² Pivotal Global Capacity, LLC is wholly owned by FFN Investments, LLC, which is also an Arizona limited liability company.³

¹ Exhibit S-1 at 1.

² Id.

³ Id.

3. On October 9, 2014, GC Pivotal filed an application with the Commission to provide resold and facilities-based local exchange, resold and facilities-based interexchange, and facilities-based private line telecommunications services on a statewide basis in Arizona. The application also requested a determination that its proposed services are competitive in Arizona.

- 4. GC Pivotal currently holds a CC&N to provide resold private line service in Arizona pursuant to Decision No. 73645 (February 6, 2013). The Company seeks to expand its CC&N authority to include resold and facilities-based local exchange, resold and facilities-based interexchange, and facilities-based private line telecommunications services to mirror the authority currently held by Megapath Corporation ("Megapath").⁴
- 5. GC Pivotal's application did not include a proposed tariff for the services it is requesting authority to provide, but the Company affirms that it will provide the same services and charge the same rates under the same terms and conditions as was provided by Megapath.⁵
 - 6. Notice of GC Pivotal's application was given in accordance with the law.
- 7. Staff recommends approval of GC Pivotal's application for a CC&N to provide intrastate telecommunication services in Arizona, subject to the following conditions:
 - a. GC Pivotal comply with all Commission Rules, Orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications services;
 - b. GC Pivotal abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for Qwest in Docket No. T-01051B-13-0199;
 - c. GC Pivotal be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange service providers who wish to serve areas where GC Pivotal is the only local provider of local exchange service facilities;
 - d. GC Pivotal notify the Commission immediately upon changes to GC Pivotal's name, address or telephone number;
 - e. GC Pivotal cooperate with Commission investigations including, but not limited to, customer complaints;
 - f. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services. In general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation. GC Pivotal indicated that at the end of the first twelve months of operation the net

⁵ Tr. at 13.

⁴ In a separate docket, GC Pivotal and Megapath jointly filed for approval to transfer the customers and assets from Megapath to GC Pivotal, which will include the interconnection agreement with the Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier. The Commission has not issued a Decision, however, Staff has recommended approval of the transfer application contingent upon the granting of the CC&N in this docket.

book value of all Arizona assets that could be used in the provision of telecommunications service to Arizona customers will be \$5 to 7 million. The rate to be ultimately charged by GC Pivotal will be heavily influenced by the market. Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate based on information submitted by GC Pivotal, the fair value information provided was not given substantial weight in this analysis;

- g. GC Pivotal offer Caller ID with the capability to toggle between blocking and unblocking the transmission of the telephone number at no charge;
- h. GC Pivotal offer Last Call Return service that will not return calls to telephone numbers that have the privacy indicator activated; and
- i. The Commission authorize GC Pivotal to discount its rates and service charges to the marginal cost of providing the services.
- 8. Staff further recommends that GC Pivotal's CC&N be considered null and void after due process if GC Pivotal fails to comply with the following conditions:
 - a. If GC Pivotal does not provide local exchange service to end users within (3) three years from the date of the Order in this docket, that GC Pivotal be required to notify the Commission of this fact and to request cancellation of its CC&N through a filing made in this docket;
 - b. GC Pivotal shall notify the Commission through a compliance filing within (30) thirty days of the commencement of service to its first end-user customer;
 - c. GC Pivotal file a tariff update within (30) thirty days from the date of the Order in this docket indicating the toll free number for customer complaints; and
 - d. GC Pivotal shall abide by the Commission adopted rules that address Universal Service in Arizona. A.A.C. R-14-2-1204(A) indicates that all telecommunications service providers that interconnect into the public switched network shall provide funding for the Arizona Universal Service Fund ("AUSF"). The Applicant will make the necessary monthly payments required by A.A.C. R-14-2-1204(B).
- 9. Staff also recommends GC Pivotal's proposed services be classified as competitive given the availability of alternatives, the inability of the Company to adversely affect the local exchange or long distance service markets, and GC Pivotal's lack of market power.

Technical Capability

10. GC Pivotal intends to provide its proposed services to small business customers and some larger competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs").⁶

6	Tr	at	1	1_1	2

DECISION NO.

GC Pivotal has authority to provide resold private line service in Arizona.⁷ The

GC Pivotal's team of officers and managers have a combined total of 53 years'

The Company will have four employees in Arizona to provide field technician

GC Pivotal provided audited financial statements for the 12 months ending

Staff believes that GC Pivotal will have to compete with other incumbent local

Company also has authority to provide private line, access, resold, or facilities-based local exchange

experience in the telecommunications industry. According to the witness, the Company

services and will acquire Megapath's network upon consummation of the transaction to transfer

December 31, 2012, listing total assets of \$31,845,970; total equity of \$23,977,999; and a net

income of negative \$3,213,221.12 For the 12 months ending December 31, 2013, GC Pivotal

listed total assets of \$30,777,249; total equity of \$20,233,353; and net income of negative

exchange carriers ("ILECs"), and various CLECs, and interexchange carriers ("IXCs") in

Arizona in order to gain new customers. 14 Staff states it does not believe GC Pivotal will be

able to exert market power given its status as a new entrant in the market. 15

and/or interexchange services in fifty (50) jurisdictions including Arizona.⁸

intends to provide private line and dedicated internet services to end users. 10

2 3

1

11.

12.

13.

customers and assets.11

Financial Capabilities

14.

- 4
- 5 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

16

Rates and Charges

15.

\$3,713,213.¹³

- 17 18
- 19
- 20

21 22

23

28

74903 DECISION NO.

Exhibit S-1 at 1. 24

Exhibit S-1, Attachment A and Exhibit A-4. The other jurisdictions include the District of Columbia and each of the United States, except for Alaska. 25

⁹ Exhibit S-1 at 2.

¹⁰ Tr. at 14.

²⁶ Exhibit S-1 at 2.

¹² Id.

²⁷ ¹³ Id.

¹⁴ Id. at 3.

¹⁵ Id.

- 16. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1109, the rates charged for each service GC Pivotal proposes to provide may not be less than the Company's total service long-run incremental cost of providing that service.
- 17. GC Pivotal projects that for the first twelve months of operation in Arizona, it will have a net book value of \$5 to 7 million. 16
- 18. Staff states that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation. Staff believes that GC Pivotal's rates will be heavily influenced by the market.¹⁷ Therefore, Staff states that while it considered the fair value rate base ("FVRB") information submitted by GC Pivotal, that information was not afforded substantial weight in Staff's analysis.¹⁸

Local Exchange Carrier Specific Issues

- 19. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1308(A) and federal laws and rules, GC Pivotal must make number portability available to facilitate the ability of customers to switch between authorized local carriers within a given wire center without changing their telephone number and without impairment to quality, functionality, reliability, or convenience of use.
- 20. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1204(A), all telecommunication service providers that interconnect to the public switched telephone network shall provide funding for the AUSF. GC Pivotal shall make payments to the AUSF described under A.A.C. R14-2-1204(B).
- 21. In Commission Decision No. 74208 (December 3, 2013), the Commission approved quality of service standards for Qwest to insure customers received a satisfactory level of service. In this matter, Staff believes GC Pivotal should be ordered to abide by those service standards.
- 22. In areas where the Company is the only local exchange service provider, Staff recommends that GC Pivotal be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange service providers who wish to serve the area.

¹⁶ Id.

¹⁷ Id.

5

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

¹⁹ Exhibit A-1 at A-11. ²⁰ Exhibit S-1 at 5.

Exhibit A-2 at Attachment-STF 1.11.

²³ Exhibit A-1 at A-12.

²² Exhibit S-1 at 5.

If the Company begins to provide voice local exchange service, GC Pivotal will 23. provide all customers with 911 and E911 service where available, or will coordinate with ILECs and emergency service providers to facilitate the service.

- Pursuant to prior Commission Decisions, GC Pivotal may offer customer local 24. area signaling services such as Caller ID and Call Blocking, so long as the customer is able to block or unblock each individual call at no additional cost.
- GC Pivotal must offer Last Call Return service, which will not allow the return of 25. calls to the telephone numbers that have the privacy indicator activated.

Complaint Information

- GC Pivotal's application states that the Nevada Public Utilities Commission's 26. Staff issued a petition for a show cause order against Global Capacity. 19 The Company reported the order was for an incomplete 2012 annual report but Staff discovered the Company was ordered to pay a \$50 fine for a late filed error correction, which GC Pivotal timely paid.²⁰
- The Company's application also states the Public Service Commission of West 27. Virginia filed a Joint Staff Memorandum indicating GC Pivotal's certificate of authority should be revoked for failing to commence operations within one year as required by the Commission's order.²¹ In its research, Staff discovered that the Company had commenced operations approximately six (6) months later than ordered, but that the matter had since been closed without revocation of authority.²²
- GC Pivotal states that none of the Company's officers, directors, partners, or 28. managers have been involved in any civil or criminal investigations, or had judgments entered in any civil mater, or by any administrative or regulatory agency, or been convicted of any criminal acts within the last ten (10) years.²³

2		
_	ı	,
	H	
3	$\ $	
_	$\ $	
	1	

²⁵ Exhibit S-1 at 5. ²⁶ Id.

²⁷ Id. at 5-9.

- 29. Staff contacted the Public Utility Commission in eight states²⁴ in which GC Pivotal reported to have authority to provide telecommunications services and found that the Company is authorized to provide telecommunications services in each state and no complaints have been filed.²⁵
- 30. Staff states that the Commission's Consumer Services Section reported that no complaints, inquiries, or opinions have been filed against GC Pivotal from January 1, 2011 to October 20, 2014. According to Staff, GC Pivotal is in good standing with the Commission's Corporation Division and is in compliance with the Utilities Division's Compliance Section.²⁶
- 31. As of the filing of the Staff Report, GC Pivotal had no complaints filed with the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC").

Competitive Review

- 32. GC Pivotal's application requests that its proposed telecommunications services in Arizona be classified as competitive. Staff believes GC Pivotal's proposed services should be classified as competitive because GC Pivotal will have to compete with CLECs and ILECs to gain customers; there are alternative providers to GC Pivotal's proposed services; ILECs hold a virtual monopoly in local exchange and IXCs markets; and that GC Pivotal will not have the ability to adversely affect the local exchange or IXC markets in Arizona.²⁷
- 33. Based on the above factors, Staff concludes that GC Pivotal's proposed services should be classified as competitive.
 - 34. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. GC Pivotal is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over GC Pivotal and the subject matter of the application.

²⁴ The states Staff contacted are Arkansas, Hawaii, Nevada, Oklahoma, Texas, Vermont, and Virginia.

- 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. § 40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a CC&N to provide competitive telecommunication services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for GC Pivotal to provide the telecommunication services set forth in its application.
- 6. The telecommunication services GC Pivotal intends to provide are competitive within Arizona.
- 7. GC Pivotal's FVRB is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.
- 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for GC Pivotal to establish rates and charges that are not less than GC Pivotal's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein.
 - 9. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of GC Pivotal, LLC, dba Global Capacity for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to resold and facilities-based local exchange, resold and facilities-based interexchange, and facilities-based private line telecommunications services on a statewide basis in Arizona is hereby approved, subject to the conditions set forth herein in Finding of Facts Nos. 7 and 8.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that GC Pivotal, LLC, dba Global Capacity's telecommunication services are competitive in Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if GC Pivotal, LLC, dba Global Capacity fails to comply with the Staff conditions described in Finding of Fact No. 8, the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity granted herein shall be considered null and void after due process.

DECISION NO. 74903

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that GC Pivotal, LLC, dba Global Capacity shall docket conforming tariffs for each service within its CC&N within 365 days of the effective date of this Decision or 30 days prior to serving its first customer, whichever comes first. The tariffs submitted shall coincide with the application in this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

of the time of time of the time of time of the time of tim
IE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.
Par Freeze
COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive
Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this
JODI JERICH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DECISION NO. 74903

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	GC PIVOTAL, LLC, DBA GLOBAL CAPACITY			
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-20787A-14-0367			
3 4 5	Michael Patten Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 95004				
6	Attorneys for GC Pivotal, LLC				
7	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION				
8 9	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007				
10	Steven Olea, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO	ON			
11 12	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
1920					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
2728					
28					